



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.20

May 26th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The official doctrine that race is only skin deep is only one of the official fictions underpinning the transnational system; more fundamental to the regime's legitimacy is the cornucopian myth of endless economic growth. Seen through the eyes of the managerial class, Australia is an economy, not a country. Nevertheless, a folk memory still survives of a time when Australia was "the lucky country", the homeland of a particular people of British stock with their own particular way of life. Should the globalist economy first falter and finally fail, regime change may yet be possible for this and other Western countries.

It may well be that only a miracle can save us now; all the more reason, then, to recall that God helps those who help themselves. The capacity to act remains the key to our political salvation."

– Professor Andrew Fraser from his banned article "Rethinking the White Australia Policy"

WHOSE GOD MAY WE MOCK? by Patrick Buchanan – American Cause's Homepage
<<http://www.theamericancause.org/>>

If "such lies and errors had been directed at the Quran or the Holocaust," said Archbishop Angelo Amato, the Vatican's secretary for the congregation for the doctrine of the faith, "they would have justly provoked a world uprising." The archbishop was speaking of "*The Da Vinci Code*" the Ron Howard film that debuts at Cannes and opens worldwide this week, and is expected to gross \$500 million by summer's end.

The archbishop's point is undeniable. Blasphemous cartoons of the Prophet with a bomb in his turban, published a few months ago in a Danish newspaper and reprinted on the front pages of Europe's major papers, ignited demonstrations in Muslim communities across Europe and violent and deadly riots across the Islamic world.

Leaders friendly to the West, from Egypt to Afghanistan, felt compelled to denounce the cartoons, as did many in the West, as a provocation and insult to the faith of a billion people.

In the 1990s, the British novelist Salman Rushdie spent years in hiding after Ayatollah Khomeini issued a "fatwa" calling for his killing for publishing the blasphemous "*Satanic Verses*". In the 1970s, the film "*Muhammad*", starring Anthony Quinn, was pulled from many U.S. theatres after bomb threats. The film had offended Muslim faithful by showing the face of Muhammad.

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Whose God May We Mock?* • *"Da Vinci" Unites Indian Muslims and Christians* • *Pelling It To Them* • *But What Of The Christian Holy Book?* • *Another Bull In The Paddock*

But, denying the Holocaust is *verboden*: Last February, British historian David Irving, whose books on World War II have sold in the millions, was convicted in an Austrian court of Holocaust denial and sentenced to three years in prison. His crime: In two speeches in Austria in 1989, Irving asserted there were no gas chambers at Auschwitz. Though he recanted in court, it did not save him. Prosecutors felt his sentence was too light.

Karen Pollock of Great Britain's Holocaust Education Trust applauded the verdict: "Holocaust denial is anti-Semitism dressed up as intellectual debate. It should be regarded as such and treated as such." In nine countries of Europe, Holocaust denial is a crime. In the United States, to deny the Holocaust happened or suggest that it has been exaggerated is not a crime, but marks one down as a social leper.

If you would know who wields cultural power, ask yourself: Whom is it impermissible to offend? Thus the hoopla attending the release of "*The Da Vinci Code*", based on the Dan Brown novel that has sold 7 million copies in the United States, tells us something about whose God it is permissible to mock and whose faith one is allowed to assault.

For what "*The Da Vinci Code*" says is that Roman Catholicism is a gigantic fraud, that the church has for centuries been perpetrating a monstrous hoax, duping hundreds of millions into believing something it knows is a bald-faced lie. At the novel's heart lies the contention that Jesus and Mary Magdalene were married, that they had a daughter, that the Vatican has known this and been hiding the descendants of Jesus, that Opus Dei is a secret order whose agents will engage in murder to protect the secret.

Leonardo da Vinci's painting "*The Last Supper*" is said to hold the secret, as Jesus is portrayed touching the hand of the youngest apostle, John, who holds the place of honor at his side and who is, on close inspection, Mary Magdalene.

In Catholic teaching and tradition, the Holy Grail is the chalice that contained the blood of Jesus. In the book, the Holy Grail is Mary Magdalene, carrying the flesh and blood of Jesus in her womb.

If "*The Da Vinci Code*" is based upon facts, no other conclusion follows than that to be a Catholic is either to be in on this fraud or to be the dupe of those perpetuating it. But if it is fiction, why would Hollywood put out so viciously anti-Catholic a film that can only have the effect of undermining the faith of millions of Christians?

Putting "*The Da Vinci Code*" on film, with what it alleges about the Catholic Church, is the moral equivalent of making a movie based on the "*The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*" and implying this is the truth about the Jewish plot to control the world. One imagines Ron Howard and Tom Hanks would take a pass on that script.

Like the "Hitler's Pope" smear of Pius XII, a man who did more than any other to save the Jews in World War II, "*The Da Vinci Code*" is a Big Lie that, though readily refuted by the facts, will be believed.

But that it will be a box-office smash, that it is the subject of lavish praise in the press, that it is the best-selling novel of the 21st century, tells us we live not just in a post-Christian era, but in an anti-Catholic culture not worth defending or saving, for it is truly satanic."

"DA VINCI" UNITES INDIAN MUSLIMS AND CHRISTIANS – Reuters May 15, 2006 – Kritivas Mukherjee: "A powerful organisation of Indian Islamic clerics promised on Monday to help Christian groups launch protests if the authorities did not ban the screening of the controversial film, "*The Da Vinci Code*". Protest in India against the film have so far been low key, but several Catholic groups have threatened to stage street demonstrations and even to shut down cinema halls screening it. Now, powerful Islamic clerics have joined issue with Christians, saying "*The Da Vinci Code*" is blasphemous as it spreads lies about Jesus Christ.

"The Holy Koran recognises Jesus as a prophet. What the book says is an insult to both Christians and Muslims," Maulana Mansoor Ali Khan, general secretary of the All-India Sunni Jamiyat-ul-Ulema, an umbrella organisation of clerics, told Reuters.

"Muslims in India will help their Christian brothers protest this attack on our common religious belief."

PELLING IT TO THEM by James Reed: In a U.S. address Dr. George Pell, Archbishop of Sydney made remarks about the intolerance of the Muslim faith. He said that the Koran had "invocations to violence". Islamic and "other groups" were outraged but Prime Minister John Howard is standing by his man. Now will those "other groups" who police thought in Australia and elsewhere be lodging, or helping to lodge, a human rights and equal opportunity complaint under the Racial Discrimination Act against Pell? After all, his sins are seemingly as great as those of the "Two Danny's", the pastors from Catch the Fire Ministries who, through saying much the same thing were found to be in breach of Victoria's Racial and Religious Tolerance Act? A *prima facie* case is there: if the two Danny's can go down then why not a more influential Christian leader such as Dr. Pell – and maybe even prime Minister John Howard – two for the price of one! Far be it for someone as unworthy as me to suggest to the Mighty what they should do, but my curiosity is aroused: do they have the guts to pelt it to Pell? That is a battle that I would like to see while sipping a good red.

BUT WHAT OF THE CHRISTIAN HOLY BOOK? by Betty Luks: In fairness to the Muslim people and the criticisms of their Holy Book surely it is also time Christians noticed the barge poles in their own eyes instead of merely pointing to the splinters in Muslims' eyes? I have written about this in an article to appear in next month's *New Times Survey* – "Words to Ponder". In referring to the conflict in the Middle East, the Israeli converted to Christianity, Israel Shamir, makes mention of "the archaic religious content", the "archaic model of domination, genocide and enslavement" found in Judaism.

Shamir writes: "The mainstream media of the West usually presents the conflict in terms of Muslims versus Jews. But the conflict as seen by Jews, is Jews versus Gentiles. In their minds, the Temple Mount (in Jerusalem) is a magic Ring of Power, one they should assume when the time is right. As the Ring in Tolkien's "*Lord of the Rings*" (the British professor was a very learned man) it should bring forth the Messiah.) For the Jewish mystics, this Messiah is not the Christian Messiah. In their book, the Messiah is not a gentle Jesus with a message for all mankind. Their Messiah would forever enslave the nations of the Earth and make the chosen people the masters of the universe. Their Messiah, Lord Enslaver of the People of Earth, is the AntiChrist of (Christian) prophecies...."

The Christian reader will not like this: Now where are we Gentiles to look for "this archaic model of domination, genocide and enslavement" which "calls for archaic religious content?" For those who have eyes to see need look no further than that portion of the Bible known as the Old Testament in which can be found the "archaic model of domination, genocide and enslavement" which is 'wrapped up' in mystical "archaic religious content".

Douglas Reed, one Gentile who displayed his moral courage through his writings, also warned his people of what was happening in their midst. In "*The Controversy of Zion*"⁽¹⁾ he took his readers back to the beginnings of this affair, back to 458BC. It was there that the petty Palestinian tribe of Judah (earlier disowned by the Israelites) produced a racial creed: this was the day on which the theory of the master-race was set up as "The Law".

Douglas Reed writes: "*Deuteronomy* is to formal Judaism and Zionism what the Communist Manifesto was to the destructive revolution of our (20th) century. It is the basis of the *Torah* ("the Law") contained in the *Pentateuch*, which itself forms the raw material of the *Talmud*, which again gave birth to those "commentaries" and commentaries-on-commentaries which together constitute the

Judaic "law". *Deuteronomy* is also the basis of the political programme, of worldly dominion over nations despoiled and enslaved, which has been largely realized in the West during the Twentieth Century. *Deuteronomy* is of direct relevancy to the events of our day, and much of the confusion surrounding them disperses if they are studied in its light."

Douglas Reed explains how the confusion came about: "Another paradox in the story of Zion is that in our generation Christian divines and theologians often insist that 'Jesus was a Jew', whereas the Judaist elders refuse to allow this (those Zionist rabbis who occasionally tell political or 'interfaith' audiences that Jesus was a Jew are not true exceptions to this rule; they would not make the statement among Jews and seek to produce an effect among their non-Jewish listeners, for political reasons)."^[2]

One law for the sinner and another for God's 'chosen': It has been my experience these judaized Christian divines have one law for us miserable sinners but have a different standard of measurement for God's 'chosen'. When questioned about the Israelis' treatment of the Palestinians, they apply a different standard of conduct because "the Jews are God's chosen people"! Silly me! I thought we were all saved by Grace not by race!

And what about that sad, paradoxical figure – the "judaized" westerner? They can be seen swanning around the world as they fawn over and are feted by the rich and powerful. Professor Kevin MacDonald writes about these sad, pathetic creatures in "The Conservatism of Fools" (*The New Times Survey*, May, 2006. \$3.00 posted). These are the westerners who betray their own people as they push the agenda set out for the New World Order. They are in fact, anti their own nation – globalization – anti their own culture – multiculturalism – and anti their own race – floods of alien immigrants.

[1] "*The Controversy of Zion*" by Douglas Reed \$38.00 posted. [2] "*Facts Are Facts*" by Benjamin Freedman. \$10.50 posted. Books available from all League Book Services.

ANOTHER BULL IN THE PADDOCK? by Brian Simpson: Statistics show that about 30 per cent of men who undergo a paternity test discover that they have been cuckolded: deceptively raising someone else's child. (*The Australian*, 18/4/06 p.3) Before the Full bench of the High Court is the case of a Victorian father Liam Magill who is claiming he was deceived into paying tens of thousands of dollars to an unfaithful wife who had two children by another man (men?). (*The Australian*, 20/4/06, p.1)

In a rare situation, including judicial comment on an on-going case, one High Court judge – the ever politically correct justice Michael Kirby – said that the case would open a "Pandora's box" as the law of deceit could be used by people who are emotionally wounded: "We know that in the family law situation, it is not just an ordinary case about money, it is often a case that involves a lot of emotion." Well, so what! When men through property settlements are ruined and end up living in poverty (I know of many cases personally) there is a lot of emotion there by gleeful ex-wives.

What is Kirby proposing? That injustices based upon deception be allowed? That is the logical conclusion of his comments – obviously made to test public reaction. If paternity fraud is rife then there is more reason, not less to give justice to men. But let me have a gentlemen's bet with you. In my opinion the politically correct High Court will decide against Mr. Magill. They will say that the law of deceit applies to commercial matters and that this matter is personal. If that is what they decide - in accordance with Kirby's position – it would be a flawed decision that discriminates against men, contrary to statute law. We – long suffering men of Australia - await the judgement with interest.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.